

any case that requires further fact finding. See Meyer v. Astrue, 662 F.3d 700, 707 (4th Cir. 2011) (“Assessing the probative value of competing evidence is quintessentially the role of the fact finder. We cannot undertake it in the first instance. Therefore, we must remand the case for further fact finding”).

Here, the Commissioner asks this court to “enter a judgment reversing the Commissioner’s final decision and remanding this case to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings.” Doc. 19 at 1. Plaintiff’s counsel consents to a remand for further proceedings. Id. at 2.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1. Defendant’s Consent Motion for Entry of Judgment with Remand Under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (Doc. 19) is **GRANTED**, the Commissioner’s decision is **REVERSED**, and this matter is **REMANDED** pursuant to Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
2. Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 15) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
3. The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to enter a separate judgment of remand, thereby closing the case.

Signed: May 6, 2022



W. Carleton Metcalf
United States Magistrate Judge

